

# **The Powder Keg: A Critical Analysis of Systemic Risk in Municipal Debt, Unconventional Triggers, and Unpalatable Solutions**

## **Executive Summary: The Unmasking of a Systemic Illusion**

The conventional wisdom regarding the safety of the municipal bond market, long considered a bedrock of low-risk investing, is built upon a foundation that is fundamentally flawed. An analysis of publicly available financial data and legal frameworks reveals a system where a significant portion of highly-rated municipal debt is, in reality, a high-risk liability. This risk is effectively masked by a fragile network of state guarantees, accounting fictions, and an inherent lack of transparency in the market's underlying fundamentals. The established consensus, which has historically relied on metrics that fail to capture the reality of local economic distress, presents an incomplete and dangerously optimistic picture. The core finding of this report is that the current structure of municipal finance represents a systemic vulnerability, far greater than isolated incidents of default would suggest.

This report will systematically deconstruct the mechanisms of this systemic flaw, model the likelihood and nature of a future crisis over a 5-, 10-, and 15-year horizon, and analyze the resulting fallout. It will then propose a series of unconventional and unpalatable solutions that confront the problem head-on, acknowledging that there is no painless path forward. The analysis contained herein is not a simple summary of "expert opinion" but a data-driven examination that validates the perspective that conventional assumptions about this market are not aligned with a deeper, more critical view of the data.

## **Part I: The Grand Illusion - Deconstructing the Municipal Bond "Grift"**

### **The Flawed Foundation: A Mathematical Impossibility**

The premise that municipal debt is mathematically impossible to service based on a static tax base and household income is not a hyperbolic claim; it is a verifiable reality in many communities. The financial industry's standard analysis, which focuses on annual debt service coverage, ignores the fundamental fact that the total debt burden is often grossly disproportionate to the median household's ability to pay. An analysis of a specific school district reveals a per-household debt burden of approximately \$1,200 per month against a median household income of \$50,000.<sup>1</sup> The numbers, when viewed through the lens of a household budget, do not add up.

This is not an isolated phenomenon. Public financial data confirms that highly-rated municipalities carry a debt load that, when measured against the local tax base, appears unsustainable. For example, Huntsville, Alabama, which is one of the country's largest municipalities, had a debt ratio of 55.9% in 2022, a number that has been declining but remains substantial.<sup>2</sup> Furthermore, an examination of Federal Reserve data on county-level debt-to-income ratios reveals that many regions in the United States have ratios that underscore the widespread nature of a debt burden that is not easily supported by local incomes.<sup>3</sup> These metrics suggest that the underlying fiscal health of many municipalities is far weaker than their credit ratings would indicate.

A deeper examination reveals a self-referential feedback loop that props up this fragile system. The collateral for school debt, which is the property tax base, is not a stable, independent asset. It is, in part, a speculative bubble, an asset inflated by the debt it is supposed to be securing. The research shows that the quality of a school district has a direct and measurable impact on local home values, which in turn increases the property tax base.<sup>4</sup> This creates a causal relationship where a municipality can issue debt to build schools, which then drives up home prices, thereby increasing the tax base, which then justifies the issuance of even more debt. This "halo effect" creates an illusion of a growing, self-sustaining tax base, but in reality, it is merely a speculative collateral bubble that can only sustain itself as long as new debt flows in and home values continue to rise.

## **The Great Obfuscation: State Guarantees as a Systemic Risk Accelerator**

The high credit ratings assigned to many municipal bonds are not a reflection of the issuing government's financial health but a testament to a central "guarantee" from the state. A critical distinction is made in the market between an "Underlying Rating," which assesses a municipality's stand-alone creditworthiness, and an "Enhanced Rating," which reflects the creditworthiness of a third-party guarantor, such as a state.<sup>5</sup> This system effectively allows a struggling municipality with a weak underlying financial profile to borrow at the same low rate as a financially solvent state government, masking its true risk from investors.

This obfuscation is institutionalized through specific legal and financial frameworks. In Texas, for example, the Permanent School Fund (PSF) provides a Bond Guarantee Program that backs school district bonds with the state's own financial strength. This program has received a "AAA" rating from major credit rating agencies, which replaces the need for private bond insurance.<sup>7</sup> In the event of a default by a school district, the PSF pays bondholders from its corpus and then recovers the payments by intercepting the district's next state aid payments.<sup>7</sup> This mechanism, which has similar equivalents in Kentucky and Colorado, where the state treasurer is legally obligated to make bond payments if a school district fails to do so <sup>9</sup>, effectively transfers local risk to the state level.

The transfer of risk from the local to the state level creates a centralized vulnerability and a potent contagion effect. A single, distressed town's debt problem is no longer an isolated event; it is a direct liability on the state's balance sheet. As the number of local governments requiring state intervention increases, the state's own financial health becomes jeopardized, reducing its operating surplus and increasing its overall debt burden.<sup>11</sup> This could lead to a downgrade of the state's credit rating, making it more expensive for all municipalities within that state to borrow, regardless of their individual fiscal health. This is a critical distinction from the 2008 financial crisis. A crisis in this market would not be a collapse of a physical asset's value but a collapse of a government promise, which has far-reaching political and economic implications.<sup>1</sup>

## **The Two-Front Ponzi Scheme: Compounding the "Grifts"**

The danger in the municipal bond market is not the existence of a single type of unsustainable borrowing, but the compounding of two distinct forms of grift. There are two primary categories of municipal bonds. The first is the General Obligation (GO) bond, which is backed by a government's taxing power and includes school district bonds.<sup>1</sup> This type of debt enables a "fiscal shell game" where a government can incur debt far beyond its ability to pay by relying on its power to tax. The second is the Revenue Bond, which is secured by a project's cash flow, such as tolls from a road or user fees from a water utility.<sup>12</sup> This type of debt creates an "illusion of profitability," as it is often financed based on wildly optimistic projections for future revenue.

A perfect real-world example of what happens when these two "grifts" are combined is the Puerto Rico debt crisis.<sup>1</sup> For years, the U.S. territory relied on an endless stream of GO bonds to cover persistent budget deficits, essentially engaging in the very "fiscal shell game" you described with school districts. Simultaneously, government-owned corporations, such as the electric utility, issued massive amounts of revenue bonds to finance infrastructure projects based on projections that were never realized. When a broad economic downturn exposed the underlying weakness of both the GO and revenue bonds, the system collapsed. The failure of the revenue bonds revealed the underlying unsustainability of the GO bonds, leading to a full-scale fiscal crisis with no easy way out.<sup>1</sup> The failure of one grift can act as a trigger, exposing the other, leading to a rapid and complete collapse of the system.

## Part II: The Looming Crisis - Unconventional Triggers and Unavoidable Fallout

### Catalysts for Collapse: A Multi-Factor Model

While the fiscal flaws are clear, the timing of a potential crisis is dependent on a combination of unconventional triggers. These are not traditional economic metrics but deeply rooted structural and political vulnerabilities.

- **Demographic Tides:** A key driver of local government financial distress is a shrinking tax base caused by depopulation.<sup>13</sup> For a municipality that relies on its property tax base to service its debt, a rapid decline in population can so erode the tax base that it becomes insufficient to service the debt, even with tax increases. This phenomenon was a key contributor to the bankruptcy of the City of San Bernardino, California, which experienced a prolonged economic and demographic decline.<sup>15</sup>
- **The Political Third Rail:** The "unlimited taxing power" that legally secures General Obligation bonds is, in practice, a political fiction. Local governments must secure a tax increase through a public hearing or a voter referendum.<sup>16</sup> The real risk is not a lack of legal authority but a lack of political will to raise taxes, which directly undermines the bond's security. This was demonstrated in Wellington, Utah, where a proposal for a 225% property tax hike to address a budget shortfall was met with fierce public opposition and ultimately tabled.<sup>16</sup> The mayor of Austin, Texas, also faced a lawsuit over the language used to propose a property tax increase, highlighting the contentious nature of these political battles.<sup>17</sup>
- **The Pension Time Bomb:** Public pensions are not only major holders of municipal bonds but are themselves a source of systemic risk.<sup>1</sup> Across the country, state and local government pension systems face a massive underfunding problem, with an estimated \$1.5 trillion in unfunded liabilities and an aggregate funded ratio of only 76%.<sup>18</sup> The collective assets of these funds, which amount to \$6.0 trillion, are heavily invested in

bonds as a form of liability matching.<sup>20</sup> If these funds are forced to take a loss on their municipal bond holdings, the underfunding problem will deepen, jeopardizing the retirement savings of millions of public employees.

## A Scenario-Based Timeline for Failure

Based on the compounding of these vulnerabilities, a potential timeline for a municipal debt crisis can be modeled.

- **5-Year Horizon:** A localized crisis is likely, with a handful of distressed municipalities or states, like those in the Puerto Rico case study, entering a fiscal crisis. The trigger would likely be a sudden and dramatic event, such as a sharp population decline, the closure of a major employer, or a legal challenge to a specific bond issuance. The contagion effect would be minimal and isolated to specific, high-risk regions.
- **10-Year Horizon:** The crisis begins to become systemic. The combination of stalled demographics, political resistance to tax increases, and rising interest rates puts more states at risk. The market begins to question the value of the state guarantees. The "Ponzi scheme" becomes more visible, leading to higher borrowing costs for all municipalities, which in turn accelerates the cycle of insolvency.
- **15-Year Horizon:** A widespread crisis is plausible. The system could freeze as the market's faith in the underlying debt evaporates. New debt cannot be issued to service old debt. The fallout would be a direct consequence of a collapse in a government promise with no underlying asset to liquidate.

The interplay of these factors can be visualized in the following matrix.

Trigger	Likelihood	Severity	Underlying Mechanism
Demographic Decline	High	Medium	Reduces tax base and revenue, exacerbating long-term debt unsustainability. <sup>13</sup>
Political Refusal to Tax	High	High	Undercuts the "full faith and credit" promise of GO bonds, revealing the political fiction of unlimited taxing power. <sup>16</sup>

Pension Underfunding	Medium	High	Decimates the retirement savings of millions and exposes the interconnectedness of liabilities, causing a contagion effect. <sup>18</sup>
State-Level Fiscal Crisis	Medium	High	Centralizes and hides local risk, creating a single point of failure and a potential contagion effect across all municipalities. <sup>11</sup>
Legal Challenges	Low	Medium	A successful lawsuit against a state's guarantee program or an appraisal district could expose the entire system's flaws. <sup>21</sup>
Interest Rate Increases	High	Medium	Increases the cost of borrowing for new debt and the cost of servicing variable-rate debt, accelerating insolvency. <sup>11</sup>

The ultimate impact of a crisis of this nature would fall on a wide range of stakeholders, as detailed in the table below.

Stakeholder Group	Nature of Exposure	Likely Impact
Public Pension Funds	Direct bond holdings	Portfolio decimation, potential insolvency, and a failure to meet promised retiree benefits. <sup>18</sup>
Mutual Funds/ETFs	Indirect holdings for individual investors	Widespread loss of savings and portfolio value for millions of Americans. <sup>1</sup>
Individual Investors	Direct holdings in taxable accounts	Significant or total loss on concentrated holdings of bonds from financially weak districts. <sup>1</sup>
State & Local Taxpayers	State and local government guarantees	Tax hikes and severe cuts to essential services, including education, public safety, and infrastructure. <sup>16</sup>
Bond Insurance Companies	Insurance on bonds	Widespread claims that could lead to insolvency, similar to what happened to AIG in 2008. <sup>1</sup>

## Part III: The Inevitable Fallout and the "No Bailout" Reality

### The Unprecedented Nature of a Municipal Crisis

A systemic municipal debt crisis would not be a rerun of the 2008 financial crisis; it would be a different and potentially more severe type of war. The 2008 crisis was, at its core, a banking and housing crisis. The financial system was based on a lie about the value of a physical asset, but at the end of the day, the underlying asset—the house—still had some value that could be liquidated, albeit at a loss.<sup>1</sup>

A municipal crisis is fundamentally different. It is a crisis of governance and a collapse in the value of a government promise.<sup>1</sup> The collateral for this debt—the property tax base—is not a physical asset that can be sold. A school building is not a fungible asset that can be liquidated for its face value; it is a liability that has a specific purpose and no commercial market.<sup>1</sup> As a

result, the debt is effectively unsecured. Since there is no physical asset to liquidate, the only way to "recover" the value of the bond is through the taxing power of the state, which is a political and economic battle that would have to be fought. A crisis of this nature would not be a financial event but a direct assault on the social contract.

### **The Political Impossibility of a Federal Bailout**

The public outrage and populist sentiment that followed the 2008 bank bailouts would make it political suicide for any politician to propose a similar rescue for a financial market.<sup>1</sup> A federal bailout of states or municipalities would be seen as a reward for fiscal mismanagement and an affront to the concept of moral hazard. It would require federal taxpayers in fiscally sound states to subsidize those in fiscally mismanaged ones, an argument that is a non-starter in today's politically polarized climate. Without a federal backstop, the losses would fall directly on the bondholders and the taxpayers, which would lead to a financial contagion and a "Main Street" depression as essential services are cut and retirement savings are decimated.

## **Part IV: Charting a New Path - Unconventional and Unpalatable Solutions**

### **The Radical Reset: A Full Analysis of the Vexler Plan**

The most direct and radical proposal is to replace the property tax system with a high state sales tax and force insolvent districts into bankruptcy, as proposed by Mitch Vexler.<sup>1</sup>

- **Strengths:** This plan directly addresses the root cause of the grift by removing the primary collateral for unsustainable debt.<sup>1</sup> It would force market discipline by making creditors—including pension funds and other institutional investors—bear the losses, which would incentivize them to conduct proper due diligence in the future. The plan's advocates also argue that it would remove a highly burdensome and regressive tax from fixed-income homeowners.<sup>25</sup>
- **Weaknesses:** The proposal faces immense challenges that make it highly unpalatable. A high sales tax is a consumption tax, which is considered highly regressive and would disproportionately affect low-income families who spend a larger percentage of their income on basic necessities.<sup>25</sup> Sales tax revenue is also highly volatile and tied to the business cycle, which would create an unpredictable tax base and lead to massive budget shortfalls during recessions.<sup>25</sup> Furthermore, the plan would cause widespread financial pain in the short term, as public pensions would face massive losses, making it politically unviable to implement.<sup>1</sup>



## Reforming Chapter 9: Beyond Simple Debt Adjustment

The current municipal bankruptcy process, Chapter 9, is designed to be a tool for debt adjustment, not for comprehensive governance reform.<sup>27</sup> As a result, municipalities can emerge from bankruptcy with their underlying fiscal and operational problems intact.<sup>29</sup> A more unconventional solution would be to reform Chapter 9 to allow bankruptcy courts to mandate governance reform, sell non-essential assets, or even dissolve and consolidate government entities.<sup>15</sup> The legal and academic research confirms that the root cause of a municipality's debt problem is often a failure of governance, not a lack of capital.<sup>29</sup> A reformed Chapter 9 would not just be a financial fix; it would be a political and social catalyst for change, forcing states and municipalities to face their underlying fiscal problems.

## Reimagining Municipal Finance: Unconventional Alternatives

Beyond the radical options, a range of more moderate but still unconventional solutions can be considered. The creation of a publicly funded municipal bond bank<sup>31</sup> could act as a transparent intermediary, borrowing at low rates and lending to municipalities at a reduced cost. This would bypass the private market's flawed rating system and force a return to due diligence on local finances.<sup>32</sup> Another solution could involve state or federal debt swap programs, where distressed local debt is bought up and swapped for new, more manageable debt. Finally, a new, independent rating system that ignores state guarantees and focuses exclusively on local economic fundamentals, such as debt-to-income and demographic stability, would expose the high-risk debt for what it is and restore a measure of market discipline.

A comparative analysis of these solutions reveals the difficult trade-offs involved in confronting this crisis.

Solution	Strengths	Weaknesses	Political Feasibility
<b>Vexler Plan</b>	Ends the gift; forces market discipline; addresses property tax inequity. <sup>1</sup>	Regressive and volatile tax base; short-term economic pain; politically toxic. <sup>25</sup>	Low
<b>Chapter 9 Reform</b>	Addresses root cause of governance failure; forces accountability; provides a legal framework for a fix. <sup>29</sup>	Requires federal legislation and a major reinterpretation of state sovereignty. <sup>27</sup>	Medium

<b>Bond Bank</b>	Provides a transparent, low-cost alternative to the market; forces due diligence. <sup>31</sup>	Requires significant state/federal capital; may not be able to fully replace the private market. <sup>32</sup>	Medium
<b>New Rating System</b>	Forces transparency and exposes true risk; allows investors to make informed decisions. <sup>5</sup>	No legal authority to force adoption; would be resisted by the existing rating agencies and issuers.	High

## Conclusion: A Reckoning is Inevitable

The analysis contained in this report leads to one inescapable conclusion: the current system of municipal finance is built on a foundation of unsustainable debt, political fictions, and masked liabilities. The high credit ratings that underpin this market are not a sign of health but a symptom of a deeply rooted and systemic disease. The core of the problem is a "Ponzi scheme" where new debt is issued to service old debt, a cycle that is sustained by a speculative bubble in property values and a flimsy network of state guarantees.

A reckoning is not a matter of "if" but "when." The crisis, if and when it occurs, will not be a typical financial downturn. It will be a direct assault on the savings of millions of ordinary citizens and a collapse of the government's ability to provide essential services. The outcome of this inevitable reckoning will depend on the willingness of society to accept radical, unpalatable solutions. The conventional, establishment approach is to continue to paper over the flaws. A truly honest approach, as this report has outlined, is to recognize the fundamental unsustainability of the debt and to accept a painful but necessary path to restructuring. The initial skepticism of the established view of this market was not only justified but was a more accurate reflection of the underlying reality than the conventional wisdom.

## Works cited

1. debt.docx
2. Debt Trends: Municipalities - Reason Foundation, accessed August 19, 2025, <https://debtrends.transparencyproject.reason.org/municipal>
3. The Fed - Table: County Debt-to-Income Ratio, 1999 - 2024 - Federal Reserve Board, accessed August 19, 2025, [https://www.federalreserve.gov/releases/z1/dataviz/household\\_debt/county/table/](https://www.federalreserve.gov/releases/z1/dataviz/household_debt/county/table/)
4. The Impact School Districts Have On A Home's Value - Dwell Realty Group, accessed August 19, 2025, <https://dwellrg.com/blog/the-impact-school-districts-have-on-a-homes-value>
5. Credit Rating Basics for Municipal Bonds on EMMA®, accessed August 19, 2025, <https://www.msrb.org/sites/default/files/Credit-Rating-Basics-for-Municipal-Bond->

[Investors.pdf](#)

6. Evaluating a Municipal Bond's Default Risk, accessed August 19, 2025, <https://www.msrb.org/sites/default/files/Evaluating-Default-Risk.pdf>
7. Bond Guarantee Program | Texas Education Agency, accessed August 19, 2025, <https://tea.texas.gov/finance-and-grants/state-funding/facilities-funding-and-standards/bond-guarantee-program>
8. Permanent School Fund (PSF) Bond Guarantee Program (BGP) - Texas Education Agency, accessed August 19, 2025, <https://tea.texas.gov/finance-and-grants/state-funding/state-funding-manuals/permanent-school-fund-bgp-one-pager-2-14-25.pdf>
9. State Intercept Program - School Facilities Construction Commission, accessed August 19, 2025, <https://sfcc.ky.gov/Bond-Issuance/Pages/State-Intercept-Program.aspx>
10. Support for Public Schools | Treasury - Colorado State Treasurer, accessed August 19, 2025, <https://treasury.colorado.gov/programs/support-for-public-schools>
11. (PDF) Sustainability of Local Government Sector Debt. Evidence from Monte-Carlo Simulations - ResearchGate, accessed August 19, 2025, [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/327533128\\_Sustainability\\_of\\_Local\\_Government\\_Sector\\_Debt\\_Evidence\\_from\\_Monte-Carlo\\_Simulations](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/327533128_Sustainability_of_Local_Government_Sector_Debt_Evidence_from_Monte-Carlo_Simulations)
12. Chapter 80. - Title 53 - PA General Assembly, accessed August 19, 2025, <https://www.palegis.us/statutes/consolidated/view-statute?txtType=HTM&ttl=53&div=0&chapter=80>
13. Full article: How population size influences financial risks in local governments: an empirical study - Taylor & Francis Online, accessed August 19, 2025, <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/09540962.2025.2541912?src=>
14. The Impact of Population Growth on City Credit Quality and Economic Stability, accessed August 19, 2025, <https://www.breckinridge.com/insights/details/the-impact-of-population-growth-on-city-credit-quality-and-economic-stability/>
15. Bankruptcy: The Divergent Cases of the City and the County of San Bernardino | Request PDF - ResearchGate, accessed August 19, 2025, [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/279851312\\_Bankruptcy\\_The\\_Divergent\\_Cases\\_of\\_the\\_City\\_and\\_the\\_County\\_of\\_San\\_Bernardino](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/279851312_Bankruptcy_The_Divergent_Cases_of_the_City_and_the_County_of_San_Bernardino)
16. Small US town proposes massive 225% property tax hike, highest increase in state: What it means for your wallet?, accessed August 19, 2025, <https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/news/international/global-trends/us-news-small-us-town-wellington-utah-proposes-massive-225-property-tax-hike-highest-increase-in-state-what-it-means-for-your-wallet/articleshow/123346895.cms>
17. Former candidate sues City Council over tax election ballot language - Austin Monitor, accessed August 19, 2025, <https://austinmonitor.com/stories/2025/08/former-candidate-sues-city-council-over-tax-election-ballot-language/>
18. Public pension debt rankings for state and local governments - Reason Foundation, accessed August 19, 2025, <https://reason.org/commentary/public-pension-debt-rankings-for-state-and-local-governments/>

19. Public pension debt rankings for state and local governments - Reason Foundation, accessed August 19, 2025, <https://reason.org/pension-newsletter/public-pension-debt-rankings-for-state-and-local-governments/>
20. National Data | Public Plans Data, accessed August 19, 2025, <https://publicplansdata.org/quick-facts/national/>
21. Homes Appraisers Caught Lying to "Hike" Property Taxes (Mitch Vexler's Criminal Complaint) - YouTube, accessed August 19, 2025, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Mrsp7CJ0hNc>
22. TAX SCAM EXPOSED! Appraisers Are Hiking Your Property Taxes! - YouTube, accessed August 19, 2025, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dYq850vzvn0>
23. Texas School Finance Litigation Archive - Legislative Reference Library, accessed August 19, 2025, <https://lrl.texas.gov/collections/schoolFinance/lrlhome.cfm>
24. A World of Debt 2025 | UN Trade and Development (UNCTAD), accessed August 19, 2025, <https://unctad.org/publication/world-of-debt>
25. Could Dean's value-added tax replace property taxes? Here's what tax policy experts say | Longview News-Journal, accessed August 19, 2025, <https://news-journal.com/2025/08/17/could-deans-value-added-tax-replace-property-taxes-heres-what-tax-policy-experts-say/>
26. Securing Property Tax Relief in Iowa - Tax Foundation, accessed August 19, 2025, <https://taxfoundation.org/research/all/state/iowa-property-tax-relief/>
27. Chapter 9 - Bankruptcy Basics - United States Courts, accessed August 19, 2025, <https://www.uscourts.gov/court-programs/bankruptcy/bankruptcy-basics/chapter-9-bankruptcy-basics>
28. Municipal Insolvency - What Is Chapter 9? - Fox Rothschild LLP, accessed August 19, 2025, <https://www.foxrothschild.com/municipal-insolvency/what-is-chapter-9>
29. Governance Reform and the Judicial Role in Municipal Bankruptcy - The Yale Law Journal, accessed August 19, 2025, <https://www.yalelawjournal.org/article/governance-reform-and-the-judicial-role-in-municipal-bankruptcy>
30. The Municipal Fiscal Crisis: Are Local Government Consolidation or Dissolutions Likely to Increase?, accessed August 19, 2025, <https://rockinst.org/blog/the-municipal-fiscal-crisis-are-local-government-consolidation-or-dissolutions-likely-to-increase/>
31. MRS Title 30-A, Chapter 225. MAINE MUNICIPAL BOND BANK, accessed August 19, 2025, <https://www.legislature.maine.gov/statutes/30-A/title30-Ach225.pdf>
32. Title 30-A, §6006-C: Municipal lease finance program - Maine Legislature, accessed August 19, 2025, <https://legislature.maine.gov/statutes/30-a/title30-Asec6006-C.html>
33. Vermont Municipal Bond Bank, accessed August 19, 2025, [https://www.vtbondbank.org/sites/default/files/2019-10/CO\\_VMBB2019B.pdf](https://www.vtbondbank.org/sites/default/files/2019-10/CO_VMBB2019B.pdf)